

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,461	09/30/2003	Franco Maglione	BJA336A	5564
7590 01/12/2006			EXAMINER	
BOLESH J. SKUTNIK PhD. JD			SHAY, DAVID M	
515 Shaker Road East Longmeadow, MA 01028			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 		3735	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Teste		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,461	MAGIONE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		david shay	3735			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINGS of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO To statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n October 24, 2005.				
	_	☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are work Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[by the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection		•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer	Application No	tage		
* 5	application from the International I see the attached detailed Office action for		t received			
Attachmen	, ,					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)(Mail Date September 30, 2003	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Art Unit: 3735

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 2

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nichols in combination with Del Giglio and Proebstle et al. Nichols teaches a method of treating varicoceles by threading a catheter through the venous system and heating material in the vicinity of the end of the device, which can be done by laser radiation. Del Giglio teaches precise placement of an optical fiber, which can be about 400 microns in diameter with a bare tip that is extended 1 cm beyond the tip of the introducer, and applying laser pulses. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the ablation method of Del Giglio in the method of Nichols, since Nichols gives no particulars of the laser application or to employ the varicocele destruction method of Nichols in the method of Del Giglio, since these structures also can be efficatiously treated by laser application, and in either case to employ a 940 nm diode laser, as taught by Proebstle et al, since this is not critical and produces no unexpected result; to employ echo color Doppler ultrasound for visualization, since this particular type of ultrasound provides no unexpected result over the ultrasound suggested by Farley et al; and to perform angiography after the laser has been applied to assure closure of the vessel, since this is commonly done in angioplastic procedures, official notice of which is hereby taken, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nichols in combination with Del Giglio and Proebstle et al as applied to claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 12 above, and further in combination with Farley et al. Farley et al teach a method of treating venous varicosities by threading a catheter through the venous system and confirming the position

Art Unit: 3735

thereof with ultrasound, fluoroscopy, or angioscopy. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the positioning technique of Farley et al in the combined method of Nichols, Del Giglio, and Proebstle et al, since this would allow treatment of sites not close to the surface of the skin, and to employ echo color Doppler ultrasound for visualization, since this particular type of ultrasound provides no unexpected result over the ultrasound suggested by Farley et al; and to perform angiography after the laser has been applied to assure closure of the vessel, since this is commonly done in angioplastic procedures, official notice of which has already been taken, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday at (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 3735

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330